

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/540,968 03/31/2000		Stanley Mo	INTL-0365- (P8584)	2086	
75	590 09/09/2003				
Timothy N Trop Trop Pruner & Hu P C 8554 Katy Freeway Suite 100			EXAMINER		
			RUDY, ANDREW J		
Houston, TX	77024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3627	3627	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ì					\$				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/540,968	:	MO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Andrew Joseph F		3627					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on July	<u>11, 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) 🗌	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	tion of Claims		-						
4)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application		otion						
€،□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wii iioiii consider	ation.						
/	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ 7 \□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∟ 8)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction require	mont						
<i>'</i> —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or tion Papers	r election require	ment.						
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep								
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,	•	• •					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examir	ier.				
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	-	uon.						
,	•	arimer.							
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n priority updos 26	: U.S.C. \$ 110/a) (d) or (f)					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 3:	0.5.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (i).					
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been reco	ivod						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			-	Stago				
* (application from the International Bui See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *							
Attachmer	nt(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's REMARKS have been reviewed regarding Linberg. The previous rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salvo et al., US 6,341,271.

Salvo discloses an automated inventory management system 100 over a network where an on-line sale of an inventory allocation 150 is analyzed, as needed, by a control unit 114 and the inventory is replenished depending upon particular demands, e.g. col. 7, lines 39-57, col. 8, lines 1-4, col. 9, lines 33-57, claim 16. The network may be wire or wireless, e.g. col. 5, lines 10-20, col. 11, lines 18-36. To have provided automatic replenishment of inventory below of pre-set level in response to depletion of inventory for Salvo would have been an inherent and obvious function of the Salvo system 100. Doing such would replenish inventory stocks to acceptable levels to allow for the manufacturing process to continue in Salvo. The Examiner regrets that Salvo was not used in the "main body" of the previous Office Action, Paper No. 18. However, Salvo was brought to Applicant's attention on the previous PTO-892 mailed to Applicant from Paper No. 18. Thus, Applicant was given reasonable notice as to its contents.

3. Fry, Sr. et al., US 6,415,341, discloses an automatic Point-of-Sale inventory system.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Andrew Joseph Robe